

Specifications Event

10000000

26th October 2021

Instructions for this live event:



• REC

This is an interactive event. Please ask your questions in the chat! All answers in the end! Please note that this event will be recorded!



Agenda

Why eArchiving specifications?

CSIP and related specifications, guidelines and procedures

CITS eHealth1

CITS eHealth2

CITS ERMS

CITS Geospatial

CITS SIARD and SIARD standard

Validation

Questions

Final words





Fulgencio Sanmartín Project Officer European Commission DG CNECT



Anja Paulič Senior Advisor Arhiv Republike Slovenije



Radoslav Jakub Project Officer HaDEA



Gregor Završnik Geospatial preservation consultant, manager Geoarh



Karin Bredenberg Metadata Strategist Sydarkivera and DLM Forum



Anders Bo Nielsen Senior Adviser Danish National Archives



Stephen Mackey Product Manager Piql



Carl Wilson Technical Lead Open Preservation Foundation





https://www.europeana.eu/en/item/9200369/webclient_DeliveryManager_pid_6328451_custom_att_2_simple_viewer

Why eArchiving specifications?



Fulgencio Sanmartín, European Commission DG CNECT





In digital preservation, doing nothing is not an option

- Actually, doing nothing (or little) will cost you money later
- More time, more money more collections to digitise, more metadata to add/curate, more old formats to migrate, technologies becoming obsolete...
- Often, this cannot be avoided, but do take it into account
- Risk mitigation: stick to known, interoperable European standard specifications





Co-develop and partner

with other parties



How to use eArchiving?

Build, buy or reuse the eArchiving specifications on your own, co-develop the solution or partner with other parties.

European Standards

Europe

What is eArchiving?

European Commission

<https://ec.europa.eu/cefdigital/wiki/display/CEFDIGITAL/eArchiving>



eArchiving

Facilitates the preservation, migration, reuse and trust of your data.



Standard specifications

- E-ARK Common Specification for Information Packages:
 - Submission (METS)
 - Archive (with **PREMIS**)
 - Interoperable dissemination & re-use
- Geodata (INSPIRE Directive)
- eHealth



Support

- Service Desk
- Training and user guides
- Knowledge Center
- E-ARK General model



Sample software

- Relational Database archiving and reuse tools (SIARD)
- Pre-ingest and SIP creation tools
- Long-term Repository solutions
- Online validation tool



Onboarding & follow up

- Awareness raising
- Conformance, compliance
- Maturity Assessment Service
- Webinars and workshops



eArchiving specifications

Common Specification for Information Packages (CSIP)

- 1. Submission Information Packages (SIP), based on
 - Metadata Encoding Transmission Standards (METS)
- 2. Archival Information Packages (AIP), including
 - Preservation Metadata standard (PREMIS)
- 3. Dissemination Information Package (**DIP**)
 - Interoperable across borders and institutions

Content Information Type Specifications (CITS)

- Databases (SIARD standard)
- Geographical data (including INSPIRE Directive)
- Electronic Record Management Systems (ERMS)
- eHealth 1 and eHealth 2







CSIP and related specifications, guidelines and procedures



Karin Bredenberg, Sydarkivera

















The specifications

https://github.com/DILCISBoard https://dilcis.eu/ https://dilcis.eu/reviews/current-reviews





The basics for both CS and CITS; using standards





There are plenty of standards to use for data transfer and conformance http://jennriley.com/metadatamap/







Currently XML is used for the descriptions

We are monitoring what is happening and will evaluate alternatives as they emerge but it takes time, stability!



Extensible Markup Language (XML)





Standards currently used

For the package we use the Metadata Encoding & Transmission Standard, METS, <u>http://www.loc.gov/standards/mets/</u>



Metadata Encoding & Transmission Standard



The number of standards used increases with each additional CITS





There are two different types of specifications; the Information Package Specifications (CS) and the Content Information Type Specifications (CITS)





CSIP, SIP, AIP and DIP

The different Information Packages in the OAIS Reference Model



Content Information Type Specification (CITS) – Electronic Records Management Systems

Content Information Type Specification (CITS) - Relational Databases





The Content Information Type Specifications (CITS)

The data/information/content in the package







The Content Information Type Specifications (CITS)

The ongoing work extends the number of CITS







Reading a specification, a text with rules and Implementing a specification, a text with rules





Document and files for a specification

The text document and files providing the XML structure and validation rules



Expression of requirements not possible to make in the XML-schema





The key terms when reading a specification

Understanding occurrence and obligation

ID	Name, Location & Description	Card & Level
CSIP1	Package Identifier mets/@OBJID The mets/@OBJID attribute is mandatory, its value is a string identifier for the METS document. For the package METS document, this should be the name/ID of the package, i.e. the name of the package root folder. For a representation level METS document this value records the name/ID of the representation, i.e. the name of the top-level representation folder.	11 MUST
	Content Category	

- Card = Cardinality answers:
 - How many times can an element or attribute occur?
 - Is the element or attribute mandatory or optional?
- Level answers:
 - If the element or attribute is not mandatory what are the recommendations?





Creation of files following a specification

There are many ways to get the resulting content and put it into a package







The Information Package **CS**





The package principles and requirements





29

The principles of a package

What makes a package a package and how do we identify it and its content?

5.1.0. PHILCIPIE 1.0.

3.1.7. Principle 1.7:

3.2. Identification of the Information Package

3.2.1. Principle 2.1:

3.2.2. Principle 2.2:

3.2.3. Principle 2.3:

3.2.4. Principle 2.4:

3.2.5. Principle 2.5:

3.3. Structure of the Information Package

3.3.1. Principle 3.1:

3.3.2. Principle 3.2:

- - -

3.2. Identification of the Information Package

3.2.1. Principle 2.1:

The Information Package OAIS type (SIP, AIP or DIP) MUST be clearly indicated.

One of the first tasks in analysing any Information Package is to identify its current status in the overall archival process. Therefore, any Information Package must explicitly and uniformly identify itself as a SIP, AIP or DIP.

3.2.2. Principle 2.2:

Any Information Package MUST clearly identify the Content Information Type(s) of its data and metadata.

As stated in Principle 1.1, any Information Package MUST be able to include any kind of data and metadata. At the same time, we have introduced in earlier Sections the concept of Content Information Types which allow users to achieve more detailed control and fine-grained interoperability. As such, any CSIP Information Package MUST include a statement about which Content Information Type Specification(s) has been followed within the Information Package, or on the contrary, indicate clearly that no specific Content Information Type Specification has been followed.

The practical implication of principles 1.1, 2.1 and 2.2 is that, once these have been followed in implementations, it is possible to develop modular identification and validation tools and workflows. While generic components can carry out high-level tasks regardless of the Content Information Type, it is possible to detect automatically which additional content-aware modules need to be executed.



The folder structure of a package

If we do not have a manifest, we still need to be able to understand the package

4. CSIP structure4.1. Folder structure of the CSIP4.2. Implementing the structure







METS - different packages CSIP, SIP, AIP and DIP and their relationships





The Metadata Encoding and Transmission Standard (METS) used in CSIP

https://www.loc.gov/standards/mets/







The standard METS

The sections of METS, a short overview







The standard METS and its core element

The structural map in METS







The standard METS and its core element

The structural map used in CSIP

```
<mets:structMap ID="struct-map-example-1" TYPE="PHYSICAL" LABEL="CSIP">
 <mets:div ID="struct-map-example-div" LABEL="csip-mets-example">
    <mets:div ID="struct-map-metadata-div" LABEL="Metadata" ADMID="digiprov-premis-file-1 digiprov-premis-file-2" DMDID="dmd-ead-file">
    </mets:div>
    <mets:div ID="struct-map-doc-div" LABEL="Documentation">
      <mets:fptr FILEID="file-ptr-doc">
     </mets:fptr>
    </mets:div>
    <mets:div ID="struct-map-schema-div" LABEL="Schemas">
      <mets:fptr FILEID="file-grp-schema">
     </mets:fptr>
    </mets:div>
    <mets:div ID="struct-map-reps-sub-div" LABEL="Representations">
     <mets:fptr FILEID="file-grp-rep-subdata">
     </mets:fptr>
    </mets:div>
  </mets:div>
</mets:structMap>
```







Connecting CSIP with METS




37

Common Specification for Information Package (CSIP)

The common elements and attributes used in the transfer described in a requirements table and a METS profile

ID	Name, Location & Description	Card & Level
CSIP1	Package Identifier mets/@08JID The mets/@08JID attribute is mandatory, its value is a string identifier for the METS document. For the package METS document, this should be the name/ID of the package, i.e. the name of the package root folder. For a representation level METS document this value records the name/ID of the representation, i.e. the name of the top-level representation folder.	11 MUST
CSIP2	Content Category mets/@TYPE The mets/@TYPE attribute MUST be used to declare the category of the content held in the package, e.g. book, journal, stereograph, video, etc Legal values are defined in a fixed vocabulary. When the content category used falls outside of the defined vocabulary the mets/@TYPE value must be set to "OTHER" and the specific value declared in mets/@csip:oTHERTYPE. The vocabulary will develop under the curation of the DILCIS Board as additional content information type specifications are produced. See also: Content Category	11 MUST
CSIP3	Other Content Category mets[@TYPE='0THER']/@csip:OTHERTYPE When the mets/@TYPE attribute has the value "OTHER" the mets/@csip:OTHERTYPE attribute MUST be used to declare the content category of the package/representation. See also: Content Category	01 SHOULD
CSIP4	Content Information Type Specification mets/@csip:CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE Used to declare the Content Information Type Specification used when creating the package. Legal values are defined in a fixed vocabulary. The attribute is mandatory for representation level METS documents. The vocabulary will evolve under the care of the DILCIS Board as additional Content Information Type Specifications are developed. See also: Content information type specification	01 SHOULD
CSIP5	Other Content Information Type Specification mets[@csip:CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE='OTHER']/@csip:OTHERCONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE When the mets/@csip:CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE has the value "OTHER" the mets/@csip:OTHERCONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE must state the content information type.	01 MAY
CSIP6	METS Profile mets/@PROFILE	11 MUST

<mets:mets OBJID="uuid-4422c185-5407-4918-83b1-7abfa77de182" LABEL="Sample CSIP Information Package" TYPE="OTHER" OTHER"
</mets:mets>





The connection between the different Information Package specifications

8

 $\langle \rangle$



E-ARK SIP, E-ARK AIP and E-ARK DIP

Special use cases of the CSIP

- E-ARK SIP + E-ARK DIP adds more rules to CSIP
 - Defines the Submission Information Package and the Dissemination Information Package
 - Some extra attributes
 - Defines some values to use like informing about where we are in the OAIS reference model; SIP and DIP
- E-ARK AIP description of how to handle a package in an electronic archive





Connected to the content of the package





Archival Information

Information regarding the creator and finding aid







Preservation metadata

Preservation metadata for the content









Maintenance and contributions





the Digital Information LifeCycle Interoperability Standards Board (DILCIS Board)





DILCIS Board

The maintainers

- https://dilcis.eu/
- <u>https://github.com/DILCISBoard</u>
- info@dilcis.eu









Can I or we contribute?!





4

Contributions

The users

- Request to create a CITS specification
- Request CITS endorsement of a specification
- Use the existing specifications and give us feedback in GitHub
- Participate in the reviews and give your comments!





CITS eHealth1



Stephen Mackey, Piql





CEF Telecom Call Requirement

Defined in the CEF Telecom call for proposals 2019

... specifications for eHealth will be developed by the activity. One specification will be based upon the Norwegian eHealth archives transfer format of patient journals (from provider EMR systems to a central health archive).





Electronic Medical Record & Health Record Systems

No strict definitions



EMR

- Medical in nature, used by clinicians
- Mainly unstructured data, core composition of natural language documents, often attested, accompanied by data files and metadata
- Distributed
- Not interoperable, records do not travel easily

EHR

- Consider the whole health of the patient, take contributions from all healthcare providers
- Provide universal access for healthcare providers and patients
- Centralised
- Mainly structured data with additional data files



Use Cases for a Central Health Archive

Patient medical records

Tranchara

Transparency

To provide records to next of kin in compliance with open information regulation

2

Research

To harvest the vast amount of historical healthcare-related data within the archive for medical research.

S Portability and Interoperability

Common standard for exchange of complete patient medical records

4

Management of EHR systems

To archive data from online, centralised EHR systems to manage storage capacities



Stephner Mackey

Scope Patient medical record archive

Complete

The aim of an archive will be to collect complete patient medical records from all institutions in the jurisdiction

Interoperable

Records from any archive should be understandable and usable by other central or local archives

Batch submission

Submissions are likely to be made in batches with submissions containing multiple patient records.



Backlog

The case for creation of a centralised patient medical archive may include the incorporation of a backlog including physical, digitized records

Rich Metadata

The use of the archive for research necessitates the capture of rich personal and clinical metadata

Patient Medical Record

Largely consisting of attested documents in free flowing, natural language plus metadata and data files



eHealth1 specification

Considerations

Patient Centricity

- Use cases determine an organization (taxonomy) of data by patient. All records for a patient from an institution are grouped within archival packages.
- Impractical and unnecessary to aggregate patient records from different submission institutions
- High level descriptive metadata is patient personal information (such as the FHIR patient resource)

Aggregation Structure

- Records are organised in a case/subcase/document taxonomy
 - ✓ Case documents grouped by period, condition or treatment
 - ✓ Sub-case documents related by a specific department or treatment
 - ✓ Document set of files with common metadata, attested
 - ✓ Data Files documents, images, audio, video, scans. Can be encapsulated bit streams (e.g. Dicom)
 - ✓ Bitstream data

Metadata

- Recommends but does not mandate the use of FHIR resources for:
 - ✓ Patient personal
 - ✓ Clinical Condition, AllergyIntollerance, Procedure, etc
- References other international standards such as ICD, SNOMED
- Extensible and adaptable





Case structure

A range of use cases







Single Document

 \leq

Multiple Cases, Documents Multiple Cases, Sub-cases, Documents



eHealth1 SIP Creator

Production of compliant eHealth1 SIPs from source EMR systems

🜓 piql Eark SIP Creator		—		×
piql INGEST				
Files Health1_Transfer_170921 Fipatientrecord_1 Fipatientrecord_2 Fipatientrecord_3 Fipatients.ml Submissionagreement.pdf	Information			
Add files Remove files				
		С	reate S	IP

SIP Creator

۰

.

- Desktop Windows application
- Produces compliant SIPs from EMR system extracts (data and metadata)
- Configurable for different metadata standards
- Transformation scripts can be embedded to map export structures and metadata
- Outputs can be validated using eArchiving validation tools



Summary





CITS specification for archival of patient medical records in a central health archive

Specification focuses on SIPs but requirements flow through logically into AIP and DIP structures and the specification allows for multiple (batch) submissions in individual packages.



Uses a patient centric and case based taxonomy for organisation of EMR sourced patient records

Future use cases could consider export from EHR systems or systems with a higher structured content. The SIP Creator tool aids production of compliant SIPs.



Adoption will be driven by creation of central/regional health archives

The specification allows for interoperability, portability of archival packages and cost saving through the use of open-source eArchiving tools for implementation such as the eHealth1 SIP Creator.





CITS eHealth2

Archiving of Cancer Registry's Exports



Anja Paulič, Archives of the Republic of Slovenia



Cancer Registries and their data

Cancer

one of the most important public health issues in the developed world

Cancer Registry

an institution that systematically and continuously gathers data on cancer patients in a designated area

Cancer Registry Data

is used for assessing, planning and controlling the cancer burden in the community, its completeness and data quality are constantly improved

Cancer Registry Export

dataset, which contains at least one file with data from the cancer registry and stored separately from CR data

eHealth2

Slovenian Cancer Registry, Archives of the Republic of Slovenia and experience of Norwegian CR, JRC, other CR data aggregators





eHealth2 development

How did we do it?







eHealth2 guidelines

Step by step manual for eHealth2 specification

Description of the Presentext in which specification can be used

Identifying users

Written for different stakeholders that may have different background knowledge of topics discussed in the specification

Providing examples

Added real life examples from Slovenian Cancer Registry based on JRC data call



eHealth2 impact on stakeholders



Preserve

Retention of data that has impact on health policies



Trust

Clear and easily verifiable cancer burden related data



Usability

Specification and guidelines can be used for all kinds of cancer registry data exports





Cancer Registries



Aggregators, Academic and other Researchers



Archives and other Record Keepers



eHealth2 going forward



64



Thank you!

https://ec.europa.eu/cefdigital/wiki/display/CEFDIGITAL/eArchiving anja.paulic@gov.si, joze.skofljanec@gov.si STomsic@onko-i.si, TZagar@onko-i.si, VZadnik@onko-i.si



CITS Electronic Records Management Systems



Karin Bredenberg, Sydarkivera





CITS ERMS Specification and Guideline

The documents



Guideline for CITS ERMS

Guideline for the E-ARK Content Information Type Specification for Electronic Record Management Systems



Date: 31.08.2021 Version: 1.0.0





CITS ERMS XML-schema + Schematron

The supporting rule documents









CITS ERMS

The main elements





CITS ERMS and CSIP

The connection between the two

Table 1: Specific fields to use in CSIP							
Element name	METS path	Value					
General content type	mets/@TYPE	Dataset					
Specific content type	mets/@csip:CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE	ERMS					
Specific content type	fileGrp/@csip:CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE When the FileGrp describes a Representation	ERMS					

3.3.2 Placement of data in a CSIP Information Package

The ERMS document is placed as a representation file following the instructions in CSIP.





CITS ERMS placement

Where do the files go on an information package?



71



Using the CITS ERMS

Mapping is the first step






CITS Geospatial



Gregor Završnik, Geoarh, Slovenia



Quick intro: Why preserve Geospatial records?

- Everything happens somewhere
- Form of official records
- Common denominator for all data
- Cornerstone of Digital Europe Data Economy
 - Analysis across time
 - Basis for many inovative solutions



What is new in 3.0

- Alignment with the CSIP package structure
- Requirements redesign according to "Significant Properties"
- Introducing "Long term preservation Profiles"
- Support for Geospatial metadata Standards
- Guidelines



European Commission

+ Extendable with

profiles

Long term preservation

Validation criteria:

2. CITS Geospatial Requirements structure

2.1.

Folder structure requirements

How to package Geospatial records within the CSIP Structure.

Adds suggestions for storage of significant properties for preservation of records

2.2.

METS Requirements

Specific requirements for the METS file, showing us where the package contains Geospatial records, to support validation.

2.3.

Data Requirements

Defines basic set of requirements specific to Geospatial records. Extended requirements can be defined in Long-term preservation format profiles.



Documentation requirements

Specifies essential and recommended technical and contextual documentation, required for future reuse.

2.5.

Metadata requirements

Defines requirements for geospatial metadata elements and their placement within the information package. The aim is to support automated accessibility and findability.



2.1. Folder structure requirements

- Structure based on CSIP
- Structure supports the "Significant — Properties" model
- Standardized machine-readable vs descriptive
- Additional folders are strongly recommended not mandatory





2.2. METS file requirements

- Mets profile supports identification of the Content Type within
 - Package
 - Representation
- Vocabulary can be extended in the future

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="yes"?>
      <!-- In this example IDs are carring information to ease understanding - they look like paths to ease
      <!-- CSIPSTR15 goes like this: We recommend including all schema documents for any structured metadat
      <mets xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance" xmlns="http://www.loc.gov/METS/"</pre>
        xmlns:xlink="http://www.w3.org/1999/xlink"
        xmlns:csip="https://DILCIS.eu/XML/METS/CSIPExtensionMETS"
        xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance schemas/XMLSchema.xsd http://www.loc.
          http://www.w3.org/1999/xlink schemas/xlink.xsd
9
          https://DILCIS.eu/XML/METS/CSIPExtensionMETS_schemas/CSIPExtensionMETS.xsd"
        OBJID="IP 18002 VectorGeodata 1Rep" TYPE="Geospatial Data" csip:CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE="GeoData"
        PROFILE="https://GE0.dilcis.eu/profile/CITS_Geodata.xml" csip:OAISPACKAGETYPE="SIP">
11
             CSTP1 - mets/@OBJID -->
              GEO 3
                          Content Information Type Specification
                                                                                                1..1
              Ref CSIP4
                          mets/@csip:CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE
                                                                                                MUST
                          For information packages that primarily contain geospatial data,
                          the value in Package mets/@csip:CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE
                          MUST be "citsgeospatial v3 0" as taken from the CSIP Vocabulary
                          for Detailed Content Type.
                          See also: Content information type specification
              GEO 4
                                                                                                   0
                                                                           [GeoIP name]
              Ref CSIP
                                                                                                   NOT
                       METS.xm
                                Metadata
                                                                      Representations
                                        servation
                                                         [RepresentationName]
                                            PREMIS1.xm
                                                                  METS.xr
              GEO 5
                                                                                                   1
                                            PREMIS2.xm
                                                                  Data
                                      Descriptive
              Ref CSIP
                                                                                                   ST
                                                                     Borders.gm
              SIP2
                                           Borders_EAD.xml
                                                                     Borders.xsd
                                      Other
                                                                 Metadata
                                                                       Descriptive
                                                                            Borders 19115.xml
                                                                Documentation
```

2.3. Data Requirements

- General data
 requirements
 - Vector requirements
 - Raster requirements
- Long term Preservation format profiles





Vector

ID	Name, Location & Description	Card & Level
GEO_11	Minimum one file in a geospatial format	0n
	If the value in mets/@csip: CONTENTINFORMATIONTYPE is	
	"citsgeospatial_v3_0 ", then there SHOULD exist at least one file in	SHOULD
	a geospatial format in	
	representations/[RepresentationName]/data	
GEO_12	Subfolders in data representations/[RepresentationName]/data	0n
	If there are more geospatial records in a representation, each	
	geospatial file MAY be placed or grouped in subfolders in	MAY
	representations/[RepresentationName]/data	
GEO_13	Long term preservation format representation	
		0n
	The Information Package SHOULD contain at least one	SHOULD
	representation of geospatial record in a long-term preservation	SHOULD
	format, as defined by the Archive or in the Long-term Preservation	
	Format Profile (See chapter 3.3.5.)	



???? GML, SHP, KML, TIFF, JPEG2000, .gpkg, csv ????



2.3.5.Why Long-term Preservation format profiles?

- Geospatial records vary in type (formats) and in content
- Local implementations might require specific validation rules.
- Examples provided as Appendix to Guideline:
 - Profile for Geospatial Vector data using GML 3.2.1.
 - Profile for Geospatial Raster data using TIFF baseline 6

ID	Description of requirement	M/O
D_5.2-1	GML files larger than 1 GB MUST be subdivided into smaller GML files	
	Recommendation It is recommended that GML files larger than 1 GB are subdivided into smaller GML files because GML files larger than 1–2 GB are impossible to produce, test, correct or visualise in a GIS.	
D_5.2-2	Geometries and attributes from the same geospatial vector dataset SHOULD be kept together within the same GML file	0





2.4. Documentation requirements

- Requirements on how to document the geodata in the IP
 - Structure of geospatial records
 - Rendering and visualization
 - Behaviour
 - Coordinate reference systems
 - Other





Source: http://ayresriverblog.com

	Point	Line	Polygon	Associative	Ordered	Quantitative	Selective	
Size	•.•	×			•	•	•	
Value	•••				•		•	
Texture	****	57		•	•		0	
Color	•••	57		0			•	
Orientation		\gtrsim		•			0	
Shape	•_	\gtrsim		•				●St OW

Ali, Amr. (2017). Framework Development of Cybercartography for Mobile Environment. 6. 14-25. 10.5923/j.ajgis.20170601.02.

X



2.5. Geospatial Metadata

- Geospatial metadata enhances findability and reuse
- List of mandatory elements
- Support for standardized machine readable data
 - ISO 19115-1
 - ISO 19115-2
 - ISO 19165-2
- Support for proprietary machine-readable metadata



INSPIRE GEOPORTAL

Enhancing access to European spatial data

Data set Metadata 🔺



Resource Abstract

Fulfilment of the obligation under Article 5 of the Decree on Special Protection Areas (Natura 2000 Areas) and informing the public of NATURA 2000 sites. The data set is part of the inventory of sites of importance for biodiversity conservation.Natura 2000 is a European network of Special Protection Areas (SPAs) proclaimed in the Member States of the European Union with the basic objective of preserving biodiversity for future generations. Special areas of conservation are therefore intended for the conservation of animal and plant species and habitats that are rare or endangered on the European level owing to human activity. The data set is official data for valid NATURA 2000 sites adopted by the Decree on Special Protection Areas (Natura 2000 areas) (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia Nos 49/04, 110/04, 59/07, 43/08, 8/12, 33/13, 35/13 – Corr., 39/13 – Decision. US, 3/14, 21/16 and 47/18). The data set shows the NATURA 2000 sites designated under the Birds Directive (Council Directive 79/409/EEC of 2 April 1979 on the conservation of wild birds) – SPAs, and the Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora) – pSCI/SAC sites.

Lineage

Na podlagi biogeografskega seminarja, ki je bil junija 2014 v Ljubljani (zaključki), je Evropska komisija 3. decembra 2014 potrdila slovenski predlog območij. Odločitev o posodobitvi seznama območij v alpski biogeografski regiji in v celinski biogeografski regiji je Komisija objavila 23. januarja 2015 v Uradnem listu EU. Vlada je območja NATURA 2000 potrdila z Uredbo o posebnih varstvenih območjih (območjih Natura 2000).

Unique Resource Identifier

Code: A4BB6417-4C82-44FF-801A-9590224AEB8F Namespace: SI.ARSO.NATURA

Spatial Data Theme

Zavarovana območja

Topic Category

environment biota

Reporting Tags

Priority Dataset

Spatial Scope

Conditions Applying To Access And Use

Obvezna navedba vira: Vir: Agencija RS za okolje ali vir: ARSO

Limitations On Public Access

http://inspire.ec.europa.eu/metadata-codelist/LimitationsOnPublicAccess/noLimitations

Geographic Bounding Box



Responsible Party

Organisation name Agencija RS za okolje E-mail gp.arso@gov.si

Metadata Point Of Contact Organisation name

Agencija RS za okolje E-mail ursa.mezan@gov.si

Metadata Language slv Metadata Date 2020-05-12T10:29:49

Download metadata

fileIdentifier c93002fa-8064-4b7c-866b-2648ca1c403e

application/vnd.iso.19139+xml

Guidelines for CITS Geospatial

- Introduction to Geospatial records
- Introduction to "Significant Properties" concepts for Geodata
- Rationalizations of all CITS Requirements
- Examples for many requirements



Description:

This requirement allows an additional representation in the IP with the geospatial data in the original format.

Example:

Figure 2 in chapter 3.1.2 shows an IP with two representations. One representation contains a Long-Term Preservation vector data format (GML321), and the other contains a representation of the original format in an ESRI shapefile format (SHP).

Rationale:

Original formats are often richer and easier to use than the preservation format and suitable for dissemination in the short term. However, it does not ensure the long-term preservation of the data. Geospatial data in original format can also be used for validation on submission mitigating loss of data and significant properties during migration to preservation format. The idea is that the users could use this representation until the original formats becomes obsolete.

Guidelines for CITS Geospatial with GIS

- Introduction to GIS Systems
- GIS Preservation
 strategies
- Rationalizations of all CITS Requirements
- With Examples based on standards
 - OWS Context
 - WKT2 for CRS
 - SLD
 - Etc.



GEO 33 Rationale

Requirement:

GEO_33	Rendering configuration	A standardised machine-readable rendering configuration for one or more geospatial datasets MAY be provided in the Information Package	0n MAY
GEO_33a Ref GEO_33	Placement of rendering configuration	If a standardised machine-readable rendering configuration for one or more geospatial datasets exists, it SHOULD be provided in representations/[RepresentationName]/documentation/rendering	0n SHOULD

Description:

This requirement recommends that rendering configurations are documented in a standardised machinereadable format to support dissemination automatisation.

Example:

An example of Standardised machine-readable formats for the rendering of geospatial records are SLD^{20} files. KML^{21} files also have some of that capability:

SLD files example

SLD is an OGC²² (Open Geospatial Consortium) standard for symbology and is the OGC Styled Layer Description XML format (SLD files). If the producer cannot provide the archive with SLD files, these can be recreated from the description provided in the Documentation in an open-source GIS application like QGIS²³. Raster files can have a colour map associated with the pixel value. The SLD standard is used for rendering geodata in OGC web services and, therefore, could be used as an appropriate input for an easier DIP creation in the future. An example of an SLD file is shown in figure 13.



Summary

New CITS for Geospatial is

- More extendable
- Supports multiple
 standards and formats
- Guidelines for easier implementation

What is next:

- Collaboration is key
- More user needs





Questions? Answers in the end

Gregor Završnik gregor@greoarh.si

Ready to get started?

Find out more at: ec.europa.eu/cefdigital

Contact us: cef-building-blocks@ec.europa.eu

E-ARK Programme LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/groups/8343650/ Twitter: #EARKProject

Thank you!





CITS SIARD and SIARD standard



Anders Bo Nielsen, Danish National Archives



Agenda

CITS SIARD and SIARD



- CITS SIARD and SIARD relational database archiving
- What is CITS SIARD and what is SIARD?
- SIARD
 - based on open standards, contains its own database metadata, table spec. and table content
- Development of the SIARD file format
- Large objects ISO SQL/MED
- SIARD 2.2
- CITS SIARD

Relational Database Archiving Interest Group



... is a packaging specification



www.digitalbevaring.dk





European Commission

SIARD Is an open file format for archived RDB

An archived relational database in SIARD format contains its own metadata describing the document more precisely in addition to the actual table data





Relational database archiving - why we need SIARD



- The relational database (RDB) is still the most widespread and dominant form of organising structured digital information
- Limited interoperability of proprietary RDBMS
- Proprietory SQL dialects despite ISO SQL
- Need for persistent data format outside the RDB Management System (RDBMS)



What is SIARD? Software Independent Archival of Relational Databases

SIARD-2.1.1-Formatspezifikation SIARD-2.1.1-Formatspezifikation

Name

Seite 1 von 75

info@kost-osco.ch https://kost-ceco.ch

Kategorie	Standard
Relfegrad	Implementiert
Version	2.1.1
Status	Stabile Version
Beschluss am	2019-05-15
Ausgabedatum	2019-05-15
Ersetzt Version	eCH-0165 Version 2.1
Voraussetzungen	Keine
Beilagen	metadata.xsd, ech-0165_ce.siard1
Sprachen	Deutsch (Original), Französisch (Übersetzung), Englisch (Überset- zung)
Autoren	Marcel Büchler, Schweizerisches Bundesarchiv, marcel.buechler@bar.admin.ch
	Luis Faria, KEEP SOLUTIONS, LDA, Ifaria@keep.pt
	Bruno Ferreira, KEEP SOLUTIONS, LDA, bferreira@keep.pl
	Anders Bo Nielsen, Danish National Archives (Rigsarkivet), abn@sa.dk
	Krystyna Ohnesorge, Schweizerisches Bundesarchiv, krystyna.ohnesorge@bar.admin.ch
	Claire Röthlisberger-Jourdan, KOST. claire.roethlisberger@kost.admin.ch
	Hartwig Thomas, Enter AG, hartwig.thomas@enterag.ch
	Andreas Voss †. Schweizerisches Bundesarchiv

Basiert auf der OE Beispieldatenhank von Orach

Titre SIARD-2.1.1 - Specification de format Type Norme Stade Implémentée Version 2.1.1 Statut Approuvé Validation 2019-05-15 Date de publication 2019-05-15 Remplace eCH-0165 version 2. Dépendances Aucune metadata.xsd, ech-0165_ce.siard1 Annexes Langues

SIARD-2.1.1 – Spécification de format

Page 1 de 75

Allemand (original), francais (traduction), anglais (traduction) Marcel Büchler. Archives fédérales suisses, marcel.buechler@bar.admin.ch Luis Faria, KEEP SOLUTIONS, LDA, Itaria@keep.pt Bruno Ferreira, KEEP SOLUTIONS, LDA, bferreira@keep.pt Anders Bo Nielsen, Danish National Archives (Rigsarkivet), abn@sa.dk Krystyna Ohnesorge, Archives fédérales suisses, krystyna.ohne ibbar.admin.ch Claire Röthlisberger-Jourdan, CECO. claire roethli Hartwig Thomas, Enter AG, hartwig.thomas@ontorag.c Andreas Voss †, Archives lédérales suisses

Basé sur le modèle de base de données OE d'Oracle

Auteurs

info@kost-osco.ch https://kost-ceco.ch

SIARD Format Specification SIARD-2.2 Format Specification Category Standard Maturity level Approved Version Reviewed version Approval 2021-08-31 2021-08-31 Issue date Replaces SIARD-2.1.1 Prerequisite None Attachments netadata.xsd English, German (awaits translation), French (awaits translation), Italian (awaits Languages translation)

Page 1 of 90

Summary

Publisher

distributor

Name

Status

This document contains the specification for the SIARD file format version 2.2. SIARD stands for Software Independent Archival of Relational Databases. The format version 1.0 was developed by the Swiss Federal Archives. It is a normative description of a file format for the long-term preservation of relational databases.

Swiss Federal Archives, https://www.bar.admin.ch/

DILCIS Board, https://dilcis.eu/

The SIARD format is based on standards including the ISO standards Unicode, XML, and SQL:2008, the URI Internet standard, and the industry standard ZIP. The aim of employing internationally recognised standards is to ensure the long-term preservation of, and access to, the widely used relational database model, as well as easy exchange of database content, independent of proprietary "dump" formats.

SIARD 2.2 https://dilcis.eu/content-types/siard https://www.bar.admin.oh







Development of the SIARD file format



93

European Commission

SIARD metadata and data

 Metadata.xml refer to folders inside the SIARD file





European Commissio SIARD database metadata, table spec. and table content





Large objects ISO SQL/MED

 Large object (LOB) is the common name for Binary Large Object (BLOB) and Character Large Object (CLOB). BLOB is content such as video, sound, images, word processing documents etc., and CLOB is text content.

- Support for internal LOBs (ISO/IEC 9075-2:2008 - BLOBS) in SIARD 2.2
 - The SIARD 2.2 format specification supports the SQL:2008 method for using internal LOBS (ISO/IEC 9075-2:2008), as did SIARD 1.0 (SQL:1999).
 - The SIARD 2.2 format supports LOBs stored as files inside the SIARD file and describes this in detail in the SIARD 2.2 format specification (similar to SIARD 1.0).
 - The SIARD 2.2 format supports LOBs stored as files outside the SIARD file (a new feature in SIARD 2.0) and specifies the details in this specification.
- Support for external files (ISO/IEC 9075-9:2008 – SQL/MED) in SIARD 2.2



SIARD 2.2

- Requirements for the folder structure for LOBs stored outside the SIARD file
- Segmenting LOBs and large tables
- An example

```
Northwind.siard <!-- packaged as a ZIP file ->
   content/
   header/
       metadata.xml
       metadata.xsd
       siardversion/
                  2.2/
Northwind lobs/
   s0_t2_c4/
       seg_0/
           t2 c4 r1.bin
           t2_c4_r2.bin
           t2_c4_r3.bin
           t2 c4 r4.bin
                          <!-- folder file number limit -->
       seg_1/
           t2 c4 r5.bin
           t2_c4_r6.bin
           t2 c4 r7.bin
                          <!-- folder file size limit -->
       seg_2/
           t2 c4 r8.bin
    s0_t2_c8/
       seg_0/
           t2 c8 r3.bin
    s0_t11_c6/
       seg_0/
           t11 c6 r7.bin
```



SIARD 2.2

 The previous example for metadata.xml and table2.xml metadata.xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="yes"?>...
<siardArchive>...<lobFolder>./Northwind_lobs/</lobFolder>

<column>...<lobFolder>s0_t2_c4/</lobFolder>...</column> <column>...<lobFolder>s0_t2_c8/</lobFolder>...</column>

<column>...<lobFolder>s0_t11_c6/</lobFolder>...</column>

table2.xml <row><c1>1</c1><c2>Beverages</c2><c3>Soft drinks, coffees, teas, beers, and ales</c3> <c4 file="seg_0/t2_c4_r1.bin" ... /></row>

<row><c1>5</c1><c2>Seafood</c2><c3></c3>
<c4 file="seg_1/t2_c4_r5.bin" ... /></row>

<row><c1>8</c1><c2>Candy</c2><c3></c3></c4 file="seg_2/t2_c4_r8.bin" ... /></row>

... is a brand new specification



www.digitalbevaring.d



Content Information Type Specification – Geodata

Content Information Type Specification – Electronic Records Management Systems

Content Information Type Specification – Relational Databases

https://earkcsip.dilcis.eu/

eArchiving Webinar Series 2020:

https://ec.europa.eu/cefdigital/wiki/display/CEFDIGITAL/eArchiving+webinar+Seri es+2020 Special interest: "CSIP", "DBPTK"

eArchiving Webinar Series 2021:

https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/runner/eArchivingwebinars2021

Special interest: "Putting the CITS content in the CSIP package", "Submission Agreements", "E-ARK validation: What's inside the Package?"



... in the specification landscape



https://earkcsip.dilcis.eu/



https://earksip.dilcis.eu/



... can be found at:



"The Digital Information LifeCycle Interoperability Standards Board (DILCIS Board) is an international group of experts committed to maintain and sustain maintain a set of **interoperability** specifications which allow for the transfer, long-term preservation, and reuse of digital information regardless of the origin or type of the information."

https://dilcis.eu/content-types/cs-siard

ABOUT - SPECIFICATIONS - CONTENT TYPES - GUIDELINES - REVIEWS - SOFTWARE CONTACT

CITS SIARD

documentation of the dataset.

The CITS SIARD (Content Information Type Specification for Relational Databases using SIARD) is a specification that describes how to package and preserve relational database content. This is primarily done by packaging SIARD files into information packages that conform to the Common Specification for Information Packages.

The specification helps you to apply a common way of

a proprietary backup and a SIARD snapshot) in a single package along with appropriate metadata and binary



Download CITS SIARD

Latest version (v1.0.0, August 31, 2021)

- CITS SIARD v1.0.0
- E-ARK-SIARD-ROOT.xml
- E-ARK-SIARD-REPRESENTATION.xml
- Guideline CITS SIARD 1 0 0.pdf

We welcome all feedback in regard to the SIARD CITS specification - if you have any comments or proposals, please contact us per e-mail or leave your comments on GitHub!

Relational Database Archiving Interest Group

The DILCIS Board and eArchiving Building Block also maintain a "Relational Database Archiving Interest Group" which documents and shares best practices on database archiving, the application of the SIARD and SIARD CITS specifications and related tools. If you are interested in joining the interest group please register at https://forms.gle/o5Qny521G1QmPEK26

For now the Interest Group has published two international case studies:

- Case Study 1
- Case Study 2



... can be found at:



https://github.com/DILCISBoard/CITS-SIARD

<> Code ① Issues 3 11 Pull requests		└─ Insights 穆 Settings				
🐉 master 👻 3 branches 🚫 1 tag		Go to file Add file ▼	About 🕸			
PhillipTommerholt Merge pull request #3 from [Content Information Type Specification for relational databases using SIARD					
documentation	umentation Add files via upload 6 months ago mples Update METS.xml last month					
examples						
igures figures	Figures and MD uploaded	6 months ago	siard cits-siard siard-specification siard-format			
specification	Update index.md	5 months ago	Readme			
README.md	Update README.md	7 months ago				
Config.yml	Set theme jekyll-theme-cayman	5 months ago	Releases			
🗅 index.md	Update index.md	5 months ago	𝔅 1 tags			
The purpose of this repository is to hold and the format Software Independent Archiving (The purpose of this repository is to hold and maintain the "Content Information Type Specification for Relational Databases (RDB) using the format Software Independent Archiving of Relational Databases (SIARD)" in short called the "CITS SIARD".					
SIARD is an independent format for archiving SIARD specification deliberately states that p specification: "It should be noted that the SI/ (relational databases) and is therefore design Package), AIP (Archival Information Package) database in SIARD format is archived as part files, translation maps for external file names database, etc.)." SIARD 2.1.1, p. 7	PhillipTommerholt Phillip Tømmerholt Laurira Lauri ra ThomasBolbroe Thomas Bolbroe kuldaraas Kuldar Aas					
This repository holds the CITS SIARD specific CSIP package(s). This specification also descr preservation and dissemination can take plac	Environments 1 g github-pages Active					
© 2021 GitHub, Inc. Terms Privacy	Security Status Docs	Contact GitHub Pricing AF	9 Training Blog About			



3.1 Folder structure and example

Folder Structure of Northwind Sample Database







3.2 Package METS and Representation METS

3.3 Package METS

3.4 Representation METS

3.5 METS requirements between Package and Representation

3.6 {SIARD_1.0, SIARD2.0, SIARD2.1}

ID	Name and Location	Description and Usage	Card & Level
SIARD_1		There MUST be minimum one representation and therefore exactly one Package METS.xml and minimum one Representation METS.xml in a CITS SIARD package.	11 MUST

Relational Database Archiving Interest Group



- The DILCIS Board and eArchiving Building Block have initiated a "Relational Database Archiving Interest Group" which is expected to document and share best practices on database archiving, the use of the SIARD and SIARD CITS specifications, and related software.
- If you are interested in joining the interest group, please register at: https://listserv.dilcis.eu/review/rdb-aig:
- See also
- https://dilcis.eu/content-types/siard
- <u>https://github.com/DILCISBoard/SIARD</u>





E-ARK Validation: Compliance with specifications



Carl Wilson, Open Preservation Foundation





Why E-ARK Validation?

OAIS defines **WHAT COULD** be in an Information Package, but says little about **WHAT SHOULD** be in there or **HOW** the package is arranged:

- There is little guidance for implementers;
- There is no basis for interoperability, information packages from different systems may have very little common ground.

E-ARK sets out to address these issues by defining a formal logical and physical structure for information packages.





The E-ARK validation model

E-ARK separates IP validation into three logical phases:

• Structure

Sixteen rules that ensure that the package structure is valid, this covers the presence of specific directories and a main metadata file.

• Metadata

Schema validation of metadata files followed by an extended set of metadata checks, currently about 150 rules.

• Integrity

Checks the package manifest, ensures that all files are present, verifies any checksums and ensures that no "orphaned" files are present.




E-ARK IP validation

Structure

The E-ARK IP specifications define a standard physical structure:







E-ARK IP validation Metadata

Metadata validation covers:

- Validation of XML METS files against the METS schema.
- Additional validation against the E-ARK extension schema for additional attributes defined by the project.
- Validation against an extended rule set that is considerably more prescriptive than the METS schema:
 - Published as a METS profile available from the specification sites;
 - Enforced through the use of XML Schematron.





111

E-ARK IP validation

Integrity

Integrity checking:

- Ensures all files listed in the metadata documents are present in the package;
- Verifies the checksums of these files against those in the metadata, if present:
 - This can be time consuming for large packages;
 - Checksum validation is an optional step.
- Ensures that there are no orphaned files in the package:
 - An orphan is any file that is not referenced in the metadata somewhere;
 - Possible that the file does not belong in the package;
 - Alternatively, the metadata for the file has been omitted.

Why Schematron? Extensibility at runtime

- METS validation by the official schema is quite limited, a valid document can be VERY sparse;
- METS Profiles go beyond this BUT they aren't machine enforceable;
- Schematron uses XQuery and XPath to test for patterns and conditions in XML documents;
- The ruleset is extensible and can be switched at runtime, you could even write your own rules.



Support for developers of archival software





- Validation service for testing packages during development;
- Python library for local validation, e.g. pip install eark-ip-validation;
- Java library for similar, commons-ip;
- Docker images for deploying validation servers locally;
- REST API for validating information packages;
- Swagger definitions for generation of client SDK for

REST service integration.



Getting started

There are a few easy-to-use online resources that will help you try out the validation software with sample packages:

- The quickest way to try the E-ARK validator is via the online web demonstrator: <u>https://pyip.openpreservation.org/</u>.
- The CEF <u>ISA2 Interoperability Test Bed</u>, an online and self-service platform offered by DIGIT for the conformance testing of software against technical and semantic specifications;
- Sample packages that demonstrate individual errors for testing are available from: <u>https://dilcisboard.github.io/eark-ip-test-corpus/</u>.





Questions



Final Words



Photo by Karin Bredenberg



THE REAL PROPERTY AND IN THE REAL PROPERTY AND INTERPOPERTY AND INTE